

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application N .

09/909,101

Applicant(s)

LANGBERG ET AL.

Examiner

Urmi Chattopadhyay

Art Unit

3738

All Participants:(1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.(2) Jeremy P. Sanders.**Status of Application:** Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2003**Time:** 3:00pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ NoIf Yes, provide a brief description: *None.***Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e)


Claims discussed:

1, 46

Prior art documents discussed:

*Wilson et al. (USPN 6,569,198)***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***During an updated search, the examiner found Wilson et al., which can be used to reject at least independent claims 1 and 46 under 102(e).***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)